		Shau	ghnessy	Nó.:	081	901	
		Date	out EA	B:	11 MAY	1984	
To:	H. Jacoby Product Manager 12 Registration Division (TS-767	•	1				
From:	Samuel M. Creeger, Chief Environmental Chemistry Review Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (T						
Attache	ed, please find the EAB review	of:		•			
Reg./Fi	ile No.: 50534-8	····					
Chemica	al: Chlorothalonil						
Type Pr	roduct: F						
Product	Name: BRAVO 500						
Company	Name: SDS Biotech Corp.				•		1
Submiss	sion Purpose: review aquatic	field	dissip	ation	study		
ZBB Code: other			Action	Code:	400		
	1/27/84 EFB No.: 4180						
Date Completed: 1 1 MAY 1984			TAIS (L	evel :	II)	Days	
Deferra	ls To:			62	;-	3	
X	Ecological Effects Branch						
-	Residue Chemistry Branch	•				a.	
	Toxicology Branch						

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Diamond Shamrock has submitted an aquatic field dissipation study with chlorothalonil. Acc. No. 071552.

2.0 Bravo 500: chlorothalonil: DS-2787 2,4,5,6-tetrachloroisophthalonitrile

## 3.0 DISCUSSION

This study was conducted to determine the potential effects on the aquatic environment - water, sediment, and fish - as a result of the runoff of chlorothalonil and its degradation products from treated soybean fields.

A 3.8 acre experimental pond and a 1.5 acre control pond were selected in St. Michael's, MD. Approximately 8.3 acres were planted with soybeans. Chlorothalonil was applied using ground boom techniques. The application rate was 2.75 pt product per acre and chlorothalonil was applied every 14 days for a total of three applications. This rate is the maximum recommended label rate on soybeans.

Runoff was monitored during each significant rainfall at two runoff channels between the test field and the experimental pond. In the ponds, 4 stations were established and each contained separate cages with 100 bluegill sunfish or 100 channel catfish. Station 4 was restocked on day 10 after second treatment because fish escaped from the cage.

Before and after treatments, pond water (surface and bottom) and sediment were collected from both ponds along with native bluegill sunfish and non-native sunfish and catfish from experimental pond. Non native fish were sampled before placement into control pond and experimental pond. Pond water from both ponds was sampled for water quality analysis, temperature and light penetration.

Residues of chlorothalonil (DS-2787) and its degradation products 4-hydroxy-2,5,6-trichloroisophthalonitrile (DS-3701), 3-cyano-2,4,5,6-tetrachlorobenzamide (DS-19221), trichloro-3-carboxybenzamide (DS-46851), 3-cyano-trichlorobenzamide (DS-47524) and 3-cyanotrichlorohydroxybenzamide (DS-47525) were analyzed for in samples of pond water, pond sediment, runoff water, runoff soil and fish.

## Results

Test site description, sampling schedule, water quality analyses, meteorological data during application, rainfall recordings and, sediment and soil analyses are all given in the Attachment.

Chlorothalonil and its degradation products were found in field runoff in both water and soil. These data indicate generally higher residues in soil than in water. Table 1 summarizes these data.

Pond water analyses indicated residues of chlorothalonil during the pretreatment period. Post treatment analysis indicated random findings of chlorothalonil and its degradation products. No residue of chlorothalonil was greater than 0.7 ppb in the experimental pond. These results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Chlorothalonil and its degradation products were found in pond sediment for both pre- and post-treatment periods from both control and experimental ponds. These residue values were generally low and random in occurrence. Table 4 summarizes these results.

Residue values in fish were generally non-detectable. One sample of bluegill sunfish indicated residues of one degradation product before application. Post-treatment residues of chlorothalonil were not detected in catfish or sunfish from the experimental pond. Residues of degradation products were sporadic and low in these same fish. These data are summarized in Table 5.

Fish mortality was observed on day 10 after third treatment when 41 sunfish were found dead. No other significant mortalities took place. Because of the generally low levels of chlorothalonil residues, disease was thought to have caused these deaths. Table 6 shows these data.

## 4.0 CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

The study was well conducted and satisfies the aquatic field dissipation requirement. No significantly large residues of chlorothalonil or its degradation products were found in fish or pond samples. Runoff of chlorothalonil from treated field is expected with greater residue amounts associated with soil rather than water runoff.

EAB defers to EEB to comment on any toxicological significance to non target species as a result of these residue levels.

Richard V. Moraski Review Section No. 1

Page is not included in this copy.  Pages through are not included.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients.
Identity of product impurities.
Description of the product manufacturing process.
Description of quality control procedures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.
Sales or other commercial/financial information.
A draft product label.
The product confidential statement of formula.
Information about a pending registration action.
FIFRA registration data.
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request.
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.